FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

The Law Offices of

STRAUB & POKOTYLO

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 5 2006

620 Tinton Avenue Bldg. B, 2nd Floor Tinton Falls, NJ 07724-3260

Telephone: 732-542-9070 Facsimile: 732-542-9071 Internet site: www.sp-ip.com

To: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	
Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300	
Telephone No.:	
From: John C. Pokotylo, Esq.	
Date: September 5, 2006	
Number of Pages Including Cover: 7	
MESSAGE: FORMAL SUBMISSION OF: 1) Fee transmittal (1 pg., in duplic and 2) Petition (4 pgs).	ate);
Attorney Docket No.: Juniper-10 (JNP-0044) Appl. No.: 09/734,324 Applicant: Robert P. Enns Filed: December 1, 2000 Title: COMPARING CONFIGURATION INFORMATION FOR A DATA FORWARDING TC/A.U.: 2145 Examiner: Thomas Duong	NG DEVIC
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION	
I hereby certify that this paper (and any accompanying pais being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patents and Trademark Office on the date shown below.	aper(s)) d
John C. Pokotylo Type or print name of person signing certification	
Signature September 5, 2006 Date	

PECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 5 2006

Modified PTO/SB/17 (01-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL							Complete ii Known								
FEE IKANSWIIIIAL								Application Number 09/734,324							
for FY 2006							Filing Date Dec					ember 1, 2000			
Effective 12/08/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.							First Named Inventor Robo				lobert	rt P. Enns			
							Examiner Name Th				homa	s Duong			
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27							Art Unit 2145								
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 130.00							Attorney Docket No. Juniper-10 (JNI						0044)		
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)						FEE CALCULATION (continued)									
Check Credit card Money Order Other None						3. ADDITIONAL FEES Large Entity , Small Entity									
■ Deposit / Page 1	Account:				- 1	Fee I		Fee			Fee i	Description	,		
Deposit Account	50-1049						(\$)	Code	(\$)					Fee Paid	
Number Deposit			aub & Pokoty		1051		2051 2052	65 25		-	e fillng fee o e provisional l				
Account Name		1052	50	2002	25	cover sh		z provisionari	ming ree or						
The Commission			d to: (check all that			1053		1053	130		•	ecification			
⊠Charge any f ⊠Charge any a	fee(s) indic	ated b	pelow 🔀 Cred	it any overpaymen with the filing	ts	1812 2		1812			•	·	rte reexamination		
submitted here	with		v, except for the f			1804	920*	1804	920*		ting put er actio	olication of SII n	R рпог to		
to the above-id				•		1805 1	.840*	1805	1,840*		sting pul ner actio	blication of SI on	IR after		
	FE	E C	ALCULATION			1251	120	2251	60	Extensi	on for re	eply within firs	st month		
1. BASIC F		-		NATION FEES) I	1252	450	2252	225	Extensi	on for re	eply within se	cond month		
Large Entity	Small En	tity				1253 1		2253	510	Extensi	on for re	eply within thi	rd month		
(\$)	Fee (\$))	Fee Description	Fee Pai	ia	1254	1,590	2254	795			eply within fol			
1000	500)	Utility fee		$\neg 1$	1255	2,160	2255	1,080	Extensi	on for re	eply within fift	h month		
430	215	5	Design fee		-	1401	500	2401	250	Notice	of Appe	al			
660	330)	Plant fee		$\exists 1$	1402	500	2402	250	Filing a	brief in	support of ar	n appeal		
1400	700)	Reissue fee		$\Box 1$	1403 1	.000	2403	500			l hearing			
200	100)	Provisional fee	<u> </u>	⊒I	1451	1,510	1451	1,510				use proceeding		
	İ	S	UBTOTAL (1)	(\$)		1452	500	2452	250	Petition	to reviv	ve - unavoidal	ble		
2 EVERA		1453 1	1,500	2453	750	Petition	to reviv	re - unintentio	nal						
Z. EXIKA	CLAIIVI I	-663	Extra Claims	Y AND REISS Fee from below Fee Pi		1501 1		2501	700			e (or reissue)			
Total Claims		-20*		below ree P	I	1502		2502	400	Desigr	n issue !	fee		<u> </u>	
Total Claims -20** = X = Independent -3** = X						1503 1	,100	2503	550		ssue fe				
Claims Multiple Deper	ndent	•	^F	<u> </u>	=								- check fee sheet		
Large Entity	L Small E	-	٤		7	1807	50	1807	50		•	e under 37 CF			
Fee Fee	Fee F	ee	Fee Descrip	tion		1806	180	1806	180 40			f Information th patent assi	Disclosure Stmt	 	
Code (\$) 1202 50	Code 2202	• • •	Claims in excess	of 20		8021	40	8021	40	propert	ty (times	s number of p	roperties)		
1202 50				ns in excess of 3		1809	790	2809	395		a submi R 1.129(ission after fin (a))	nal rejection		
1203 360	2203 1	180	Multiple depende	nt claim, if not pai	d	1810	790	2810	395			tional inventio			
1204 200	2204	100	"Reissue independ over original pater			1801	790	2801	395			CFR 1.129(b) ontinued Exa	imination (RCE)		
1205 50	2205	25	**Relssue claims and over origin	in excess of 20		1802	900	1802	900			pedited exami plication	nation		
							ee (sp	ecify)	Petitic		J P.			130.00	
**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see above							educed by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 130.00								
SUBMITTED BY (Complete (if applicable)															
Name (Print/Type) John C. Pokotylo							Registration No. 36,242 (Attorney/Acent)					Telephone	(732) 542-90		
Signature		()	Julin C	. Vohor	حرار							Date	September 5	, 2006	

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, Including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231.

HECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 5 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No.: Juniper-10 (JNP-0044)

Appl. No.: 09/734,324

Applicant: Robert P. ENNS

Filed: December 1, 2000

Title: COMPARING CONFIGURATION INFORMATION FOR A DATA

FORWARDING DEVICE

TC/A.U.: 2145

Examiner: Thomas Duong

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R § 1.144

Sir:

The applicant petitions the Director to direct the Examiner to withdraw the Restriction Requirement made final in Paper No. 200605256.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed on January 23, 2006, (Paper No. 01052006), the applicant elected, with traverse, the claims of Group II. That is, the applicant elected, with traverse, claims 10, 13, 22, 25-28 and 33. In the final Office Action mailed on June 2, 2006 (Paper No. 20060526), the Restriction was made final.

The applicant respectfully submits that the Restriction Requirement is improper because there is no serious burden as required by MPEP 803 and because the Groups of claims are not related as combination and sub-combinations as alleged by the Examiner. Each of these issues is addressed below.

Improper Conclusion as to Groups being Combination and Sub-combinations

The Examiner concludes that the claims of Group I are a combination of sub-combinations of Groups II and III.

(See Paper No. 01052006, page 2.) This is incorrect. MPEP 806.05(a) provides that a "combination is an organization of which a subcombination or element is a part." (Emphasis added.) The claims of Groups II (10, 13, 22, 25-28 and 33) and III (14-20, 23, 24, 31 and 32) are not parts of the claims of Group I (4-9, 11, 29 and 30).

No Serious Burden

MPEP 803 provides that if the search and examination of the application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even if it may include claims to independent or distinct inventions. In this instance, the Examiner has already examined the subject matter of the pending claims and has addressed the pending claims in earlier office actions. The applicant assumes that these office actions were complete as required by MPEP 707 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.104.

Further, the Restriction Requirement misclassified the claims into separate subclasses of claims 709. All of the claims pertain to comparing configurations of a device,

such as a data forwarding device for example. Even assuming, arguendo, that the Restriction Requirement properly classified the claims, the applicant respectfully notes that the Examiner already searched both class 709, subclass 220 (into which the claims of Group III were classified) and class 709, subclass 238 (into which the claims of Group II were classified). (See the "SEARCHED" section of the file wrapper initialed by the Examiner (copy of record).)

In summary, the Restriction Requirement misclassifies the groups of claims (which all pertain to comparing configurations of a device) into separate subclasses of class 709. Even if the classification of the groups of claims is proper, there would be no serious burden on the Examiner to examine all of the pending claims because (1) the subclasses into which the Examiner classified the claims of Groups II and III were already searched by the Examiner and (2) the Examiner already examined all of the pending claims.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.144, this petition is not filed later than appeal.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge fees for this petition to Deposit Account No. 50-1049.

Conclusion

In view of at least the foregoing reasons, the applicant respectfully submits that the Restriction Requirement is improper and requests that the Director direct the Examiner to withdraw it.

Respectfully submitted,

September 5, 2006

John C. Pokotylo, Attorney

Reg. No. 36,242

Tel.: (732) 542-9070

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper (and any accompanying paper(s)) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent Office on the date shown below.

John C. Pokotylo

Type or print name of person signing certification

September 5, 2006

Date